

PATENT APPLICATION FEE DETERMINATION RECORD
Effective October 1, 2003

Application or Docket Number

10 690 419

CLAIMS AS FILED - PART I

	(Column 1)	(Column 2)
TOTAL CLAIMS	15	
FOR	NUMBER FILED	NUMBER EXTRA
TOTAL CHARGEABLE CLAIMS	15 minus 20 = *	10
INDEPENDENT CLAIMS	4 minus 3 = *	1
MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM PRESENT <input type="checkbox"/>		

SMALL ENTITY
TYPE ☐

OR OTHER THAN
SMALL ENTITY

RATE	FEE		RATE	FEE
BASIC FEE	385.00	OR	BASIC FEE	770.00
X\$ 9=		OR	X\$18=	
X43=		OR	X86=	86
+145=		OR	+290=	
TOTAL		OR	TOTAL	856

* If the difference in column 1 is less than zero, enter "0" in column 2

09/21/04 **CLAIMS AS AMENDED - PART II**

	(Column 1)	(Column 2)	(Column 3)
AMENDMENT A	CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT	HIGHEST NUMBER PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR	PRESENT EXTRA
Total	* 13	Minus ** 20	= -
Independent	* 5	Minus *** 4	= 1
FIRST PRESENTATION OF MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM <input type="checkbox"/>			

SMALL ENTITY OR OTHER THAN
SMALL ENTITY

RATE	ADDITIONAL FEE		RATE	ADDITIONAL FEE
X\$ 9=		OR	X\$18=	
X43=		OR	X86=	86
+145=		OR	+290=	
TOTAL ADDIT. FEE		OR	TOTAL ADDIT. FEE	86

	(Column 1)	(Column 2)	(Column 3)
AMENDMENT B	CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT	HIGHEST NUMBER PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR	PRESENT EXTRA
Total	*	Minus **	=
Independent	*	Minus ***	=
FIRST PRESENTATION OF MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM <input type="checkbox"/>			

RATE	ADDITIONAL FEE		RATE	ADDITIONAL FEE
X\$ 9=		OR	X\$18=	
X43=		OR	X86=	
+145=		OR	+290=	
TOTAL ADDIT. FEE		OR	TOTAL ADDIT. FEE	

	(Column 1)	(Column 2)	(Column 3)
AMENDMENT C	CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT	HIGHEST NUMBER PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR	PRESENT EXTRA
Total	*	Minus **	=
Independent	*	Minus ***	=
FIRST PRESENTATION OF MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM <input type="checkbox"/>			

RATE	ADDITIONAL FEE		RATE	ADDITIONAL FEE
X\$ 9=		OR	X\$18=	
X43=		OR	X86=	
+145=		OR	+290=	
TOTAL ADDIT. FEE		OR	TOTAL ADDIT. FEE	

- * If the entry in column 1 is less than the entry in column 2, write "0" in column 3.
 - ** If the "Highest Number Previously Paid For" IN THIS SPACE is less than 20, enter "20."
 - *** If the "Highest Number Previously Paid For" IN THIS SPACE is less than 3, enter "3."
- The "Highest Number Previously Paid For" (Total or Independent) is the highest number found in the appropriate box in column 1.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

SEP 21 2004

In re Application of Andrew Baskerville)

Date: September 21, 2004

Application No.: 10/690,419

Group Art Unit 3662

Filed: 10/20/2003

Examiner: Ian Lobo

For: Underwater Energy Dampening
Device

Attorney Ref. No.: 147.04

*Petition for Extension of Time*Commissioner of Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir or Madam:

The applicant herewith petitions the Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks to extend the time for reply to the office action dated May 28, 2004 for 1 month from August 28, 2004 to September 28, 2004. Please charge my deposit account number 502321 in the amount of \$ 55 for the extension. Any deficiency or overpayment may also be charged or credited to the above-numbered account.

Date: September 21, 2004

By:

Dan Magliure
Daniel P. Magliure, Reg. 41,506
Tel: (530) 750-3661

09/28/2004 DBATES 00000014 502321 10690419

01 FC:2251 55.00 DA
02 FC:2201 86.00 DA

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

SEP 21 2004

In re Application of Andrew Baskerville }

Date: September 21, 2004

Application No.: 10/690,419 }

Group Art Unit 3662

Filed: 10/20/2003 }

Examiner: Ian Lobo

For: Underwater Energy Dampening
Device }

Attorney Ref. No.: 147.04

Response to Office Action with Amendments

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Dear Sir or Madam:

The applicants respectfully respond to the office action issued on May 28, 2004 with the following remarks and amendments.

Remarks

As amended, all the claims are now allowable, as explained in detail below.

Claims 5 - 10

These claims are allowable, since the examiner indicated that these claims would be approved if claim 5 were re-written in independent form, with the limitations of claim 1 - 4 incorporated into claim 5.

The applicant has now complied with the examiner's suggestion, and these claims are therefore allowable.

Claim 11

As amended, this claim is now allowable since it is limited to a "stationary project area," in contrast to the prior art.

In rejecting this claim, the examiner has relied on Fessenden (U.S. Pat. No. 1,348,828) and Lee (U.S. Pat. No. 6,606,278). However, neither of these references shows or suggests a bubble-producing unit surrounding the sides of a "stationary project area." Instead, both of these patents use bubble producing units in connection with *moving*